

Network Working Group
Request for Comments: 5091
Category: Informational

X. Boyen
L. Martin
Voltage Security
December 2007

Identity-Based Cryptography Standard (IBCS) #1:
Supersingular Curve Implementations of the BF and BB1 Cryptosystems

Status of This Memo

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

IESG Note

This document specifies two mathematical algorithms for identity based encryption (IBE). Due to its specialized nature, this document experienced limited review within the IETF. Readers of this RFC should carefully evaluate its value for implementation and deployment.

Abstract

This document describes the algorithms that implement Boneh-Franklin (BF) and Boneh-Boyen (BB1) Identity-based Encryption. This document is in part based on IBCS #1 v2 of Voltage Security's Identity-based Cryptography Standards (IBCS) documents, from which some irrelevant sections have been removed to create the content of this document.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 4 |
| 1.1. Sending a Message That Is Encrypted Using IBE | 5 |
| 1.1.1. Sender Obtains Recipient's Public Parameters | 6 |
| 1.1.2. Construct and Send an IBE-Encrypted Message | 6 |
| 1.2. Receiving and Viewing an IBE-Encrypted Message | 7 |
| 1.2.1. Recipient Obtains Public Parameters from PPS | 8 |
| 1.2.2. Recipient Obtains IBE Private Key from PKG | 8 |
| 1.2.3. Recipient Decrypts IBE-Encrypted Message | 9 |
| 2. Notation and Definitions | 9 |
| 2.1. Notation | 9 |
| 2.2. Definitions | 12 |
| 3. Basic Elliptic Curve Algorithms | 12 |
| 3.1. The Group Action in Affine Coordinates | 13 |
| 3.1.1. Implementation for Type-1 Curves | 13 |
| 3.2. Point Multiplication | 14 |
| 3.3. Operations in Jacobian Projective Coordinates | 17 |
| 3.3.1. Implementation for Type-1 Curves | 17 |
| 3.4. Divisors on Elliptic Curves | 19 |
| 3.4.1. Implementation in F_p^2 for Type-1 Curves | 19 |
| 3.5. The Tate Pairing | 21 |
| 3.5.1. Tate Pairing Calculation | 21 |
| 3.5.2. The Miller Algorithm for Type-1 Curves | 21 |
| 4. Supporting Algorithms | 24 |
| 4.1. Integer Range Hashing | 24 |
| 4.1.1. Hashing to an Integer Range | 24 |
| 4.2. Pseudo-Random Byte Generation by Hashing | 25 |
| 4.2.1. Keyed Pseudo-Random Bytes Generator | 25 |
| 4.3. Canonical Encodings of Extension Field Elements | 26 |
| 4.3.1. Encoding an Extension Element as a String | 26 |
| 4.3.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation | 27 |
| 4.4. Hashing onto a Subgroup of an Elliptic Curve | 28 |
| 4.4.1. Hashing a String onto a Subgroup of an Elliptic Curve | 28 |
| 4.4.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation | 29 |
| 4.5. Bilinear Mapping | 29 |
| 4.5.1. Regular or Modified Tate Pairing | 29 |
| 4.5.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation | 30 |
| 4.6. Ratio of Bilinear Pairings | 31 |
| 4.6.1. Ratio of Regular or Modified Tate Pairings | 31 |
| 4.6.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation | 32 |
| 5. The Boneh-Franklin BF Cryptosystem | 32 |
| 5.1. Setup | 32 |
| 5.1.1. Master Secret and Public Parameter Generation | 32 |
| 5.1.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation | 33 |
| 5.2. Public Key Derivation | 34 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 5.2.1. Public Key Derivation from an Identity and Public Parameters | 34 |
| 5.3. Private Key Extraction | 35 |
| 5.3.1. Private Key Extraction from an Identity, a Set of Public | 35 |
| 5.4. Encryption | 36 |
| 5.4.1. Encrypt a Session Key Using an Identity and Public Parameters | 36 |
| 5.5. Decryption | 37 |
| 5.5.1. Decrypt an Encrypted Session Key Using Public Parameters, a Private Key | 37 |
| 6. The Boneh-Boyen BBl Cryptosystem | 38 |
| 6.1. Setup | 38 |
| 6.1.1. Generate a Master Secret and Public Parameters | 38 |
| 6.1.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation | 39 |
| 6.2. Public Key Derivation | 41 |
| 6.2.1. Derive a Public Key from an Identity and Public Parameters | 41 |
| 6.3. Private Key Extraction | 41 |
| 6.3.1. Extract a Private Key from an Identity, Public Parameters and a Master Secret | 41 |
| 6.4. Encryption | 42 |
| 6.4.1. Encrypt a Session Key Using an Identity and Public Parameters | 42 |
| 6.5. Decryption | 45 |
| 6.5.1. Decrypt Using Public Parameters and Private Key | 45 |
| 7. Test Data | 47 |
| 7.1. Algorithm 3.2.2 (PointMultiply) | 47 |
| 7.2. Algorithm 4.1.1 (HashToRange) | 48 |
| 7.3. Algorithm 4.5.1 (Pairing) | 48 |
| 7.4. Algorithm 5.2.1 (BFderivePubl) | 49 |
| 7.5. Algorithm 5.3.1 (BFextractPriv) | 49 |
| 7.6. Algorithm 5.4.1 (BFencrypt) | 50 |
| 7.7. Algorithm 6.3.1 (BBextractPriv) | 51 |
| 7.8. Algorithm 6.4.1 (BBencrypt) | 52 |
| 8. ASN.1 Module | 53 |
| 9. Security Considerations | 58 |
| 10. Acknowledgments | 60 |
| 11. References | 60 |
| 11.1. Normative References | 60 |
| 11.2. Informative References | 60 |

1. Introduction

This document provides a set of specifications for implementing identity-based encryption (IBE) systems based on bilinear pairings. Two cryptosystems are described: the IBE system proposed by Boneh and Franklin (BF) [BF], and the IBE system proposed by Boneh and Boyen (BB1) [BB1]. Fully secure and practical implementations are described for each system, comprising the core IBE algorithms as well as ancillary hybrid components used to achieve security against active attacks. These specifications are restricted to a family of supersingular elliptic curves over finite fields of large prime characteristic, referred to as "type-1" curves (see Section 2.1). Implementations based on other types of curves currently fall outside the scope of this document.

IBE is a public-key technology, but one which varies from other public-key technologies in a slight, yet significant way. In particular, IBE keys are calculated instead of being generated randomly, which leads to a different architecture for a system using IBE than for a system using other public-key technologies. An overview of these differences and how a system using IBE works is given in [IBEARCH].

Identity-based encryption (IBE) is a public-key encryption technology that allows a public key to be calculated from an identity, and the corresponding private key to be calculated from the public key. Calculation of both the public and private keys in an IBE-based system can occur as needed, resulting in just-in-time key material. This contrasts with other public-key systems [P1363], in which keys are generated randomly and distributed prior to secure communication commencing. The ability to calculate a recipient's public key, in particular, eliminates the need for the sender and receiver in an IBE-based messaging system to interact with each other, either directly or through a proxy such as a directory server, before sending secure messages.

This document describes an IBE-based messaging system and how the components of the system work together. The components required for a complete IBE messaging system are the following:

- o a Private-key Generator (PKG). The PKG contains the cryptographic material, known as a master secret, for generating an individual's IBE private key. A PKG accepts an IBE user's private key request, and after successfully authenticating them in some way, returns the IBE private key.

- o a Public Parameter Server (PPS). IBE System Parameters include publicly sharable cryptographic material, known as IBE public parameters, and policy information for the PKG. A PPS provides a well-known location for secure distribution of IBE public parameters and policy information for the IBE PKG.

A logical architecture would be to have a PKG/PPS per name space, such as a DNS zone. The organization that controls the DNS zone would also control the PKG/PPS and thus the determination of which PKG/PSS to use when creating public and private keys for the organization's members. In this case the PPS URI can be uniquely created by the form of the identity that it supports. This architecture would make it clear which set of public parameters to use and where to retrieve them for a given identity.

IBE-encrypted messages can use standard message formats, such as the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) [CMS]. How to use IBE with CMS is described in [IBECMS].

Note that IBE algorithms are used only for encryption, so if digital signatures are required, they will need to be provided by an additional mechanism.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [KEYWORDS].

1.1. Sending a Message That Is Encrypted Using IBE

In order to send an encrypted message, an IBE user must perform the following steps:

1. Obtain the recipient's public parameters.

The recipient's IBE public parameters allow the creation of unique public and private keys. A user of an IBE system is capable of calculating the public key of a recipient after he obtains the public parameters for their IBE system. Once the public parameters are obtained, IBE-encrypted messages can be sent.

2. Construct and send an IBE-encrypted message.

All that is needed, in addition to the IBE public parameters, is the recipient's identity in order to generate their public key for use in encrypting messages to them. When this identity is the same as the identity that a message would be addressed to, then no more information is needed from a user to send

someone a secure message than is needed to send them an unsecured message. This is one of the major benefits of an IBE-based secure messaging system. Examples of identities can be an individual, group, or role identifiers.

1.1.1. Sender Obtains Recipient's Public Parameters

The sender of a message obtains the IBE public parameters that he needs for calculating the IBE public key of the recipient from a PPS that is hosted at a well-known URI. The IBE public parameters contain all of the information that the sender needs to create an IBE-encrypted message except for the identity of the recipient. [IBEARCH] describes the URI where a PPS is located, the format of IBE public parameters, and how to obtain them. The URI from which users obtain IBE public parameters MUST be authenticated in some way; PPS servers MUST support Transport Layer Security (TLS) 1.1 [TLS] to satisfy this requirement and MUST verify that the subject name in the server certificate matches the URI of the PPS. [IBEARCH] also describes the way in which identity formats are defined and a minimum interoperable format that all PPSs and PKGs MUST support. This step is shown below in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Requesting IBE Public Parameters

The sender of an IBE-encrypted message selects the PPS and corresponding PKG based on his local security policy. Different PPSs may provide public parameters that specify different IBE algorithms or different key strengths, for example, or require the use of PKGs that require different levels of authentication before granting IBE private keys.

1.1.2. Construct and Send an IBE-Encrypted Message

To IBE-encrypt a message, the sender chooses a content encryption key (CEK) and uses it to encrypt his message and then encrypts the CEK with the recipient's IBE public key (for example, as described in [CMS]). This operation is shown below in Figure 2. This document describes the algorithms needed to implement two forms of IBE. [IBECMS] describes how to use the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) to encapsulate the encrypted message along with the IBE information that the recipient needs to decrypt the message.




Figure 2. Using an IBE Public-Key Algorithm to Encrypt

1.2. Receiving and Viewing an IBE-Encrypted Message

In order to read an encrypted message, a recipient of an IBE-encrypted message parses the message (for example, as described in [IBECMS]). This gives him the URI he needs to obtain the IBE public parameters required to perform IBE calculations as well as the identity that was used to encrypt the message. Next, the recipient must carry out the following steps:

1. Obtain the recipient's public parameters.

An IBE system's public parameters allow it to uniquely create public and private keys. The recipient of an IBE-encrypted message can decrypt an IBE-encrypted message if he has both the IBE public parameters and the necessary IBE private key. The PPS can also provide the URI of the PKG where the recipient of an IBE-encrypted message can obtain the IBE private keys.

2. Obtain the IBE private key from the PKG.

To decrypt an IBE-encrypted message, in addition to the IBE public parameters, the recipient needs to obtain the private key that corresponds to the public key that the sender used. The IBE private key is obtained after successfully authenticating to a private key generator (PKG), a trusted third party that calculates private keys for users. The recipient receives the IBE private key over an HTTPS connection. The URI of a PKG MUST be authenticated in some way; PKG servers MUST support TLS 1.1 [TLS] to satisfy this requirement.

3. Decrypt the IBE-encrypted message.

The IBE private key decrypts the CEK, which is then used to decrypt encrypted message.

The PKG may allow users other than the intended recipient to receive some IBE private keys. Giving a mail filtering appliance permission to obtain IBE private keys on behalf of users, for example, can allow the appliance to decrypt and scan encrypted messages for viruses or other malicious features.

1.2.1. Recipient Obtains Public Parameters from PPS

Before he can perform any IBE calculations related to the message that he has received, the recipient of an IBE-encrypted message needs to obtain the IBE public parameters that were used in the encryption operation. This operation is shown below in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Requesting IBE Public Parameters

1.2.2. Recipient Obtains IBE Private Key from PKG

To obtain an IBE private key, the recipient of an IBE-encrypted message provides the IBE public key used to encrypt the message and their authentication credentials to a PKG and requests the private key that corresponds to the IBE public key. Section 4 of this document defines the protocol for communicating with a PKG as well as a minimum interoperable way to authenticate to a PKG that all IBE implementations MUST support. Because the security of IBE private keys is vital to the overall security of an IBE system, IBE private keys MUST be transported to recipients over a secure protocol. PKGs MUST support TLS 1.1 [TLS] for transport of IBE private keys. This operation is shown below in Figure 4.




Figure 4. Obtaining an IBE Private Key

1.2.3. Recipient Decrypts IBE-Encrypted Message

After obtaining the necessary IBE private key, the recipient uses that IBE private key, and the corresponding IBE public parameters, to decrypt the CEK. This operation is shown below in Figure 5. He then uses the CEK to decrypt the encrypted message content (for example, as specified in [IBECMS]).

IBE-encrypted CEK ----> Recipient ----> CEK

^
|
|

IBE Private Key
and IBE Public Parameters

Figure 5. Using an IBE Public-Key Algorithm to Decrypt

2. Notation and Definitions

2.1. Notation

This section summarizes the notions and definitions regarding identity-based cryptosystems on elliptic curves. The reader is referred to [ECC] for the mathematical background and to [BF], [IBEARCH] regarding all notions pertaining to identity-based encryption.

F_p denotes finite field of prime characteristic p ; F_{p^2} denotes its extension field of degree 2.

Let E/F_p : $y^2 = x^3 + a * x + b$ be an elliptic curve over F_p . For an extension of degree 2, the curve E/F_p defines a group $(E(F_{p^2}), +)$, which is the additive group of points of affine coordinates (x, y) in $(F_{p^2})^2$ satisfying the curve equation over F_{p^2} , with null element, or point at infinity, denoted as 0.

Let q be a prime such that $E(F_p)$ has a cyclic subgroup $G1'$ of order q .

Let $G1''$ be a cyclic subgroup of $E(F_{p^2})$ of order q , and $G2$ be a cyclic subgroup of $(F_{p^2})^*$ of order p .

Under these conditions, a mathematical construction known as the Tate pairing provides an efficiently computable map $e: G1' \times G1'' \rightarrow G2$ that is linear in both arguments and believed hard to invert [BF]. If an efficiently computable non-rational endomorphism $\phi: G1' \rightarrow$

G_1'' is available for the selected elliptic curve on which the Tate pairing is computed, then we can construct a function $e': G_1' \times G_1'' \rightarrow G_2$, defined as $e'(A, B) = e(A, \phi(B))$, called the modified Tate pairing. We generically call a pairing either the Tate pairing e or the modified Tate pairing e' , depending on the chosen elliptic curve used in a particular implementation.

The following additional notation is used throughout this document.

p - A 512-bit to 7680-bit prime, which is the order of the finite field F_p .

F_p - The base finite field of order p over which the elliptic curve of interest E/F_p is defined.

$\#G$ - The size of the set G .

F^* - The multiplicative group of the non-zero elements in the field F ; e.g., $(F_p)^*$ is the multiplicative group of the finite field F_p .

E/F_p - The equation of an elliptic curve over the field F_p , which, when p is neither 2 nor 3, is of the form $E/F_p: y^2 = x^3 + a * x + b$, for specified a, b in F_p .

0 - The null element of any additive group of points on an elliptic curve, also called the point at infinity.

$E(F_p)$ - The additive group of points of affine coordinates (x, y) , with x, y in F_p , that satisfy the curve equation E/F_p , including the point at infinity 0 .

q - A 160-bit to 512-bit prime that is the order of the cyclic subgroup of interest in $E(F_p)$.

k - The embedding degree of the cyclic subgroup of order q in $E(F_p)$. For type-1 curves this is always equal to 2.

F_p^2 - The extension field of degree 2 of the field F_p .

$E(F_p^2)$ - The group of points of affine coordinates in F_p^2 satisfying the curve equation E/F_p , including the point at infinity 0 .

Z_p - The additive group of integers modulo p .

\lg - The base 2 logarithm function, so that $2^{\lg(x)} = x$.

The term "object identifier" will be abbreviated "OID."

A Solinas prime is a prime of the form $2^a (+/-) 2^b (+/-) 1$.

The following conventions are assumed for curve operations.

Point addition - If A and B are two points on a curve E, their sum is denoted as $A + B$.

Point multiplication - If A is a point on a curve, and n an integer, the result of adding A to itself a total of n times is denoted $[n]A$.

The following class of elliptic curves is exclusively considered for pairing operations in the present version of this document, which are referred to as "type-1" curves.

Type-1 curves - The class of curves of type-1 is defined as the class of all elliptic curves of equation $E/F_p: y^2 = x^3 + 1$ for all primes p congruent to 11 modulo 12. This class forms a subclass of the class of supersingular curves. These curves satisfy $\#E(F_p) = p + 1$, and the p points (x, y) in $E(F_p) \setminus \{0\}$ have the property that $x = (y^2 - 1)^{(1/3)} \pmod{p}$. Type-1 curves always have an embedding degree $k = 2$.

Groups of points on type-1 curves are plentiful and easy to construct by random selection of a prime p of the appropriate form. Therefore, rather than to standardize upon a small set of common values of p , it is henceforth assumed that all type-1 curves are freshly generated at random for the given cryptographic application (an example of such generation will be given in Algorithm 5.1.2 (BFsetup1) or Algorithm 6.1.2 (BBsetup1)). Implementations based on different classes of curves are currently unsupported.

We assume that the following concrete representations of mathematical objects are used.

Base field elements - The p elements of the base field F_p are represented directly using the integers from 0 to $p - 1$.

Extension field elements - The p^2 elements of the extension field F_{p^2} are represented as ordered pairs of elements of F_p . An ordered pair (a_0, a_1) is interpreted as the complex number $a_0 + a_1 * i$, where $i^2 = -1$. This allows operations on elements of F_{p^2} to be implemented as follows. Suppose that $a = (a_0, a_1)$ and $b = (b_0, b_1)$ are elements of F_{p^2} . Then $a + b = ((a_0 + b_0) \pmod{p}, (a_1 + b_1) \pmod{p})$ and $a * b = ((a_1 * b_1 - a_0 * b_0) \pmod{p}, (a_1 * b_0 + a_0 * b_1) \pmod{p})$.

Elliptic curve points - Points in $E(F_p^2)$ with the point $P = (x, y)$ in $F_p^2 \times F_p^2$ satisfying the curve equation E/F_p . Points not equal to 0 are internally represented using the affine coordinates (x, y) , where x and y are elements of F_p^2 .

2.2. Definitions

The following terminology is used to describe an IBE system.

Public parameters - The public parameters are a set of common, system-wide parameters generated and published by the private key generator (PKG).

Master secret - The master secret is the master key generated and privately kept by the key server and used to generate the private keys of the users.

Identity - An identity is an arbitrary string, usually a human-readable unambiguous designator of a system user, possibly augmented with a time stamp and other attributes.

Public key - A public key is a string that is algorithmically derived from an identity. The derivation may be performed by anyone, autonomously.

Private key - A private key is issued by the key server to correspond to a given identity (and the public key that derives from it) under the published set of public parameters.

Plaintext - Plaintext is an unencrypted representation, or in the clear, of any block of data to be transmitted securely. For the present purposes, plaintexts are typically session keys, or sets of session keys, for further symmetric encryption and authentication purposes.

Ciphertext - Ciphertext is an encrypted representation of any block of data, including plaintext, to be transmitted securely.

3. Basic Elliptic Curve Algorithms

This section describes algorithms for performing all needed basic arithmetic operations on elliptic curves. The presentation is specialized to the type of curves under consideration for simplicity of implementation. General algorithms may be found in [ECC].

3.1. The Group Action in Affine Coordinates

3.1.1. Implementation for Type-1 Curves

Algorithm 3.1.1 (PointDouble1): adds a point to itself on a type-1 elliptic curve.

Input:

- o A point A in $E(\mathbb{F}_p^2)$, with $A = (x, y)$ or 0
- o An elliptic curve E/\mathbb{F}_p : $y^2 = x^3 + 1$

Output:

- o The point $[2]A = A + A$

Method:

1. If $A = 0$ or $y = 0$, then return 0
2. Let $\lambda = (3 * x^2) / (2 * y)$
3. Let $x' = \lambda^2 - 2 * x$
4. Let $y' = (x - x') * \lambda - y$
5. Return (x', y')

Algorithm 3.1.2 (PointAdd1): adds two points on a type-1 elliptic curve.

Input:

- o A point A in $E(\mathbb{F}_p^2)$, with $A = (x_A, y_A)$ or 0
- o A point B in $E(\mathbb{F}_p^2)$, with $B = (x_B, y_B)$ or 0
- o An elliptic curve E/\mathbb{F}_p : $y^2 = x^3 + 1$

Output:

- o The point $A + B$

Method:

1. If $A = 0$, return B

2. If $B = 0$, return A
3. If $x_A = x_B$:
 - (a) If $y_A = -y_B$, return 0
 - (b) Else return $[2]A$ computed using Algorithm 3.1.1 (PointDouble1)
4. Otherwise:
 - (a) Let $\lambda = (y_B - y_A) / (x_B - x_A)$
 - (b) Let $x' = \lambda^2 - x_A - x_B$
 - (c) Let $y' = (x_A - x') * \lambda - y_A$
 - (d) Return (x', y')

3.2. Point Multiplication

Algorithm 3.2.1 (SignedWindowDecomposition): computes the signed m -ary window representation of a positive integer $[ECC]$.

Input:

- o An integer $k > 0$, where k has the binary representation $k = \{\text{Sum}(k_j * 2^j, \text{ for } j = 0 \text{ to } l\}$ where each k_j is either 0 or 1 and $k_l = 0$
- o An integer window bit-size $r > 0$

Output:

- o An integer d and the unique d -element sequence $\{(b_i, e_i), \text{ for } i = 0 \text{ to } d - 1\}$ such that $k = \{\text{Sum}(b_i * 2^{e_i}, \text{ for } i = 0 \text{ to } d - 1\}$, each $b_i = \pm 2^j$ for some $0 < j \leq r - 1$ and each e_i is a non-negative integer

Method:

1. Let $d = 0$
2. Let $j = 0$
3. While $j \leq l$, do:
 - (a) If $k_j = 0$, then:

- i. Let $j = j + 1$
- (b) Else:
 - i. Let $t = \min\{1, j + r - 1\}$
 - ii. Let $h_d = (k_t, k_{(t-1)}, \dots, k_j)$ (base 2)
 - iii. If $h_d > 2^{(r-1)}$, then:
 - A. Let $b_d = h_d - 2^r$
 - B. Increment the number $(k_1, k_{(1-1)}, \dots, k_j)$ (base 2) by 1
 - iv. Else:
 - A. Let $b_d = h_d$
 - v. Let $e_d = j$
 - vi. Let $d = d + 1$
 - vii. Let $j = t + 1$
- 4. Return d and the sequence $\{(b_0, e_0), \dots, (b_{(d-1)}, e_{(d-1)})\}$

Algorithm 3.2.2 (PointMultiply): scalar multiplication on an elliptic curve using the signed m -ary window method.

Input:

- o A point A in $E(F_p^2)$
- o An integer $l > 0$
- o An elliptic curve E/F_p : $y^2 = x^3 + a * x + b$

Output:

- o The point $[l]A$

Method:

1. (Window decomposition)
 - (a) Let $r > 0$ be an integer (fixed) bit-wise window size, e.g., $r = 5$

- (b) Let $l' = l$ where $l = \{\text{Sum}(l_j * 2^j), \text{ for } j = 0 \text{ to } \text{len}_l\}$ is the binary expansion of l , where $\text{len}_l = \text{Ceiling}(\lg(l))$
 - (c) Compute $(d, \{(b_i, e_i), \text{ for } i = 0 \text{ to } d - 1\}) = \text{SignedWindowDecomposition}(l, r)$, the signed 2^r -ary window representation of l using Algorithm 3.2.1 (`SignedWindowDecomposition`)
2. (Precomputation)
- (a) Let $A_1 = A$
 - (b) Let $A_2 = [2]A$, using Algorithm 3.1.1 (`PointDouble1`)
 - (c) For $i = 1$ to $2^{(r - 2)} - 1$, do:
 - i. Let $A_{(2 * i + 1)} = A_{(2 * i - 1)} + A_2$ using Algorithm 3.1.2 (`PointAdd1`)
 - (d) Let $Q = A_{(b_{(d - 1)})}$
3. Main loop
- (a) For $i = d - 2$ to 0 by -1 , do:
 - i. Let $Q = [2^{(e_{(i + 1)} - e_i)}]Q$, using repeated applications of Algorithm 3.1.1 (`PointDouble1`) $e_{(i + 1)} - e_i$ times
 - ii. If $b_i > 0$, then:
 - A. Let $Q = Q + A_{(b_i)}$ using Algorithm 3.1.2 (`PointAdd1`)
 - iii. Else:
 - A. Let $Q = Q - A_{(-(b_i))}$ using Algorithm 3.1.2 (`PointAdd1`)
 - (b) Calculate $Q = [2^{(e_0)}]Q$ using repeated applications of Algorithm 3.1.1 (`PointDouble1`) e_0 times
4. Return Q .

3.3. Operations in Jacobian Projective Coordinates

3.3.1. Implementation for Type-1 Curves

Algorithm 3.3.1 (ProjectivePointDouble1): adds a point to itself in Jacobian projective coordinates for type-1 curves.

Input:

- o A point $(x, y, z) = A$ in $E(\mathbb{F}_p^2)$ in Jacobian projective coordinates
- o An elliptic curve E/\mathbb{F}_p : $y^2 = x^3 + 1$

Output:

- o The point $[2]A$ in Jacobian projective coordinates

Method:

1. If $z = 0$ or $y = 0$, return $(0, 1, 0) = 0$, otherwise:
2. Let $\lambda_1 = 3 * x^2$
3. Let $z' = 2 * y * z$
4. Let $\lambda_2 = y^2$
5. Let $\lambda_3 = 4 * \lambda_2 * x$
6. Let $x' = \lambda_1^2 - 2 * \lambda_3$
7. Let $\lambda_4 = 8 * \lambda_2^2$
8. Let $y' = \lambda_1 * (\lambda_3 - x') - \lambda_4$
9. Return (x', y', z')

Algorithm 3.3.2 (ProjectivePointAccumulate1): adds a point in affine coordinates to an accumulator in Jacobian projective coordinates, for type-1 curves.

Input:

- o A point $(x_A, y_A, z_A) = A$ in $E(\mathbb{F}_p^2)$ in Jacobian projective coordinates

- o A point $(x_B, y_B) = B$ in $E(F_p^2) \setminus \{0\}$ in affine coordinates
- o An elliptic curve $E/F_p: y^2 = x^3 + 1$

Output:

- o The point $A + B$ in Jacobian projective coordinates

Method:

1. If $z_A = 0$, return $(x_B, y_B, 1) = B$, otherwise:
2. Let $\lambda_1 = z_A^2$
3. Let $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1 * x_B$
4. Let $\lambda_3 = x_A - \lambda_2$
5. If $\lambda_3 = 0$, then return $(0, 1, 0)$, otherwise:
6. Let $\lambda_4 = \lambda_3^2$
7. Let $\lambda_5 = \lambda_1 * y_B * z_A$
8. Let $\lambda_6 = \lambda_4 - \lambda_5$
9. Let $\lambda_7 = x_A + \lambda_2$
10. Let $\lambda_8 = y_A + \lambda_5$
11. Let $x' = \lambda_6^2 - \lambda_7 * \lambda_4$
12. Let $\lambda_9 = \lambda_7 * \lambda_4 - 2 * x'$
13. Let $y' = (\lambda_9 * \lambda_6 - \lambda_8 * \lambda_3 * \lambda_4) / 2$
14. Let $z' = \lambda_3 * z_A$
15. Return (x', y', z')

3.4. Divisors on Elliptic Curves

3.4.1. Implementation in F_p^2 for Type-1 Curves

Algorithm 3.4.1 (EvalVertical1): evaluates the divisor of a vertical line on a type-1 elliptic curve.

Input:

- o A point B in $E(F_p^2)$ with $B \neq 0$
- o A point A in $E(F_p)$
- o A description of a type-1 elliptic curve E/F_p

Output:

- o An element of F_p^2 that is the divisor of the vertical line going through A evaluated at B

Method:

1. Let $r = x_B - x_A$
2. Return r

Algorithm 3.4.2 (EvalTangent1): evaluates the divisor of a tangent on a type-1 elliptic curve.

Input:

- o A point B in $E(F_p^2)$ with $B \neq 0$
- o A point A in $E(F_p)$
- o A description of a type-1 elliptic curve E/F_p

Output:

- o An element of F_p^2 that is the divisor of the line tangent to A evaluated at B

Method:

1. (Special cases)
 - (a) If $A = 0$, return 1

(b) If $y_A = 0$, return $\text{EvalVertical1}(B, A)$ using Algorithm 3.4.1 (EvalVertical1)

2. (Line computation)

(a) Let $a = -3 * (x_A)^2$

(b) Let $b = 2 * y_A$

(c) Let $c = -b * y_A - a * x_A$

3. (Evaluation at B)

(a) Let $r = a * x_B + b * y_B + c$

4. Return r

Algorithm 3.4.3 (EvalLine1): evaluates the divisor of a line on a type-1 elliptic curve.

Input:

- o A point B in $E(F_p^2)$ with $B \neq 0$
- o Two points A', A'' in $E(F_p)$
- o A description of a type-1 elliptic curve E/F_p

Output:

- o An element of F_p^2 that is the divisor of the line going through A' and A'' evaluated at B

Method:

1. (Special cases)

(a) If $A' = 0$, return $\text{EvalVertical1}(B, A'')$ using Algorithm 3.4.1 (EvalVertical1)

(b) If $A'' = 0$, return $\text{EvalVertical1}(B, A')$ using Algorithm 3.4.1 (EvalVertical1)

(c) If $A' = -A''$, return $\text{EvalVertical1}(B, A')$ using Algorithm 3.4.1 (EvalVertical1)

(d) If $A' = A''$, return $\text{EvalTangent1}(B, A')$ using Algorithm 3.4.2 (EvalTangent1)

2. (Line computation)

(a) Let $a = y_{A'} - y_{A''}$

(b) Let $b = x_{A''} - x_{A'}$

(c) Let $c = -b * y_{A'} - a * x_{A'}$

3. (Evaluation at B)

(a) Let $r = a * x_B + b * y_B + c$

4. Return r

3.5. The Tate Pairing

3.5.1. Tate Pairing Calculation

Algorithm 3.5.1 (Tate): computes the Tate pairing on an elliptic curve.

Input:

- o A point A of order q in $E(F_p)$
- o A point B of order q in $E(F_{p^2})$
- o A description of an elliptic curve E/F_p such that $E(F_p)$ and $E(F_{p^2})$ have a subgroup of order q

Output:

- o The value $e(A, B)$ in F_{p^2} , computed using the Miller algorithm

Method:

1. For a type-1 curve E, execute Algorithm 3.5.2 (TateMillerSolinas)

3.5.2. The Miller Algorithm for Type-1 Curves

Algorithm 3.5.2 (TateMillerSolinas): computes the Tate pairing on a type-1 elliptic curve.

Input:

- o A point A of order q in $E(F_p)$
- o A point B of order q in $E(F_{p^2})$

- o A description of a type-1 supersingular elliptic curve E/\mathbb{F}_p such that $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ have a subgroup of Solinas prime order q where $q = 2^a + s * 2^b + c$, where c and s are limited to the values ± 1

Output:

- o The value $e(A, B)$ in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , computed using the Miller algorithm

Method:

1. (Initialization)

(a) Let $v_num = 1$ in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}

(b) Let $v_den = 1$ in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}

(c) Let $V = (x_V, y_V, z_V) = (x_A, y_A, 1)$ in $(\mathbb{F}_p)^3$, being the representation of $(x_A, y_A) = A$ using Jacobian projective coordinates

(d) Let $t_num = 1$ in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}

(e) Let $t_den = 1$ in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}

2. (Calculation of the $(s * 2^b)$ contribution)

(a) (Repeated doublings) For $n = 0$ to $b - 1$:

i. Let $t_num = t_num^2$

ii. Let $t_den = t_den^2$

iii. Let $t_num = t_num * \text{EvalTangent1}(B, (x_V / z_V^2, y_V / z_V^3))$ using Algorithm 3.4.2 (EvalTangent1)

iv. Let $V = (x_V, y_V, z_V) = [2]V$ using Algorithm 3.3.1 (ProjectivePointDouble1)

v. Let $t_den = t_den * \text{EvalVertical1}(B, (x_V / z_V^2, y_V / z_V^3))$ using Algorithm 3.4.1 (EvalVertical1)

(b) (Normalization)

i. Let $V_b = (x_{(V_b)}, y_{(V_b)})$
 $= (x_V / z_V^2, s * y_V / z_V^3)$ in $(F_p)^2$,
 resulting in a point V_b in $E(F_p)$

(c) (Accumulation) Selecting on s :

i. If $s = -1$:

A. Let $v_{num} = v_{num} * t_{den}$

B. Let $v_{den} = v_{den} * t_{num} * \text{EvalVertical1}(B, (x_V / z_V^2, y_V / z_V^3))$ using Algorithm 3.4.1 (EvalVertical1)

ii. If $s = 1$:

A. Let $v_{num} = v_{num} * t_{num}$

B. Let $v_{den} = v_{den} * t_{den}$

3. (Calculation of the 2^a contribution)

(a) (Repeated doublings) For $n = b$ to $a - 1$:

i. Let $t_{num} = t_{num}^2$

ii. Let $t_{den} = t_{den}^2$

iii. Let $t_{num} = t_{num} * \text{EvalTangent1}(B, (x_V / z_V^2, y_V / z_V^3))$ using Algorithm 3.4.2 (EvalTangent1)

iv. Let $V = (x_V, y_V, z_V) = [2]V$ using Algorithm 3.3.1 (ProjectivePointDouble1)

v. Let $t_{den} = t_{den} * \text{EvalVertical1}(B, (x_V / z_V^2, y_V / z_V^3))$ using Algorithm 3.4.1 (EvalVertical1)

(b) (Normalization)

i. Let $V_a = (x_{(V_a)}, y_{(V_a)}) =$
 $(x_V / z_V^2, s * x_V / z_V^3)$ in $(F_p)^2$,
 resulting in a point V_a in $E(F_p)$

(c) (Accumulation)

i. Let $v_num = v_num * t_num$

ii. Let $v_den = v_den * t_den$

4. (Correction for the $(s * 2^b)$ and (c) contributions)

(a) Let $v_num = v_num * \text{EvalLine1}(B, V_a, V_b)$ using Algorithm 3.4.3 (EvalLine1)

(b) Let $v_den = v_den * \text{EvalVertical1}(B, V_a + V_b)$ using Algorithm 3.4.1 (EvalVertical1)

(c) If $c = -1$, then:

i. Let $v_den = v_den * \text{EvalVertical1}(B, A)$ using Algorithm 3.4.1 (EvalVertical1)

5. (Correcting exponent)

(a) Let $\eta = (p^2 - 1) / q$

6. (Final result)

(a) Return $(v_num / v_den)^\eta$

4. Supporting Algorithms

This section describes a number of supporting algorithms for encoding and hashing.

4.1. Integer Range Hashing

4.1.1. Hashing to an Integer Range

HashToRange($s, n, \text{hashfcn}$) takes a string s , an integer n , and a cryptographic hash function hashfcn as input and returns an integer in the range 0 to $n - 1$ by cryptographic hashing. The input n MUST be less than $2^{(\text{hashlen})}$, where hashlen is the number of octets comprising the output of the hash function hashfcn . HashToRange is based on Merkle's method for hashing [MERKLE], which is provably as secure as the underlying hash function hashfcn .

Algorithm 4.1.1 (HashToRange): cryptographically hashes strings to integers in a range.

Input:

- o A string s of length $|s|$ octets
- o A positive integer n represented as $\text{Ceiling}(\lg(n) / 8)$ octets.
- o A cryptographic hash function hashfcn

Output:

- o A positive integer v in the range 0 to $n - 1$

Method:

1. Let hashlen be the number of octets comprising the output of hashfcn
2. Let $v_0 = 0$
3. Let $h_0 = 0x00\dots00$, a string of null octets with a length of hashlen
4. For $i = 1$ to 2, do:
 - (a) Let $t_i = h_{(i-1)} || s$, which is the $(|s| + \text{hashlen})$ -octet string concatenation of the strings $h_{(i-1)}$ and s
 - (b) Let $h_i = \text{hashfcn}(t_i)$, which is a hashlen -octet string resulting from the hash algorithm hashfcn on the input t_i
 - (c) Let $a_i = \text{Value}(h_i)$ be the integer in the range 0 to $256^{\text{hashlen}} - 1$ denoted by the raw octet string h_i interpreted in the unsigned big-endian convention
 - (d) Let $v_i = 256^{\text{hashlen}} * v_{(i-1)} + a_i$
5. Let $v = v_1 \pmod n$

4.2. Pseudo-Random Byte Generation by Hashing**4.2.1. Keyed Pseudo-Random Bytes Generator**

$\text{HashBytes}(b, p, \text{hashfcn})$ takes an integer b , a string p , and a cryptographic hash function hashfcn as input and returns a b -octet pseudo-random string r as output. The value of b MUST be less than or equal to the number of bytes in the output of hashfcn . HashBytes is based on Merkle's method for hashing [MERKLE], which is provably as secure as the underlying hash function hashfcn .

Algorithm 4.2.1 (HashBytes): keyed cryptographic pseudo-random bytes generator.

Input:

- o An integer b
- o A string p
- o A cryptographic hash function hashfcn

Output:

- o A string r comprising b octets

Method:

1. Let hashlen be the number of octets comprising the output of hashfcn
2. Let $K = \text{hashfcn}(p)$
3. Let $h_0 = 0x00\dots00$, a string of null octets with a length of hashlen
4. Let $l = \text{Ceiling}(b / \text{hashlen})$
5. For each i in 1 to l , do:
 - (a) Let $h_i = \text{hashfcn}(h_{i-1})$
 - (b) Let $r_i = \text{hashfcn}(h_i \parallel K)$, where $h_i \parallel K$ is the $(2 * \text{hashlen})$ -octet concatenation of h_i and K
6. Let $r = \text{LeftmostOctets}(b, r_1 \parallel \dots \parallel r_l)$, i.e., r is formed as the concatenation of the r_i , truncated to the desired number of octets

4.3. Canonical Encodings of Extension Field Elements

4.3.1. Encoding an Extension Element as a String

$\text{Canonical}(p, k, o, v)$ takes an element v in F_p^k , and returns a canonical octet string of fixed length representing v . The parameter o MUST be either 0 or 1, and specifies the ordering of the encoding.

Algorithm 4.3.1 (Canonical): encodes elements of an extension field F_p^2 as strings.

Input:

- o An element v in F_p^2
- o A description of F_p^2
- o An ordering parameter o , either 0 or 1

Output:

- o A fixed-length string s representing v

Method:

1. For a type-1 curve, execute Algorithm 4.3.2 (Canonical1)

4.3.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation

Canonical1(p, o, v) takes an element v in F_p^2 and returns a canonical representation of v as an octet string s of fixed size. The parameter o MUST be either 0 or 1, and specifies the ordering of the encoding.

Algorithm 4.3.2 (Canonical1): canonically represents elements of an extension field F_p^2 .

Input:

- o An element v in F_p^2
- o A description of p , where p is congruent to 3 modulo 4
- o A ordering parameter o , either 0 or 1

Output:

- o A string s of size $2 * \text{Ceiling}(\lg(p) / 8)$ octets

Method:

1. Let $l = \text{Ceiling}(\lg(p) / 8)$, the number of octets needed to represent integers in Z_p
2. Let $v = a + b * i$, where $i^2 = -1$
3. Let $a_{(256^l)}$ be the big-endian zero-padded fixed-length octet string representation of a in Z_p

4. Let $b_{(256^1)}$ be the big-endian zero-padded fixed-length octet string representation of b in Z_p
5. Depending on the choice of ordering o :
 - (a) If $o = 0$, then let $s = a_{(256^1)} || b_{(256^1)}$, which is the concatenation of $a_{(256^1)}$ followed by $b_{(256^1)}$
 - (b) If $o = 1$, then let $s = b_{(256^1)} || a_{(256^1)}$, which is the concatenation of $b_{(256^1)}$ followed by $a_{(256^1)}$
6. Return s

4.4. Hashing onto a Subgroup of an Elliptic Curve

4.4.1. Hashing a String onto a Subgroup of an Elliptic Curve

`HashToPoint(E, p, q, id, hashfcn)` takes an identity string `id`, the description of a subgroup of prime order q in $E(F_p)$ or $E(F_p^2)$, and a cryptographic hash function `hashfcn` and returns a point Q_{id} of order q in $E(F_p)$ or $E(F_p^2)$.

Algorithm 4.4.1 (`HashToPoint`): cryptographically hashes strings to points on elliptic curves.

Input:

- o An elliptic curve E
- o A prime p
- o A prime q
- o A string `id`
- o A cryptographic hash function `hashfcn`

Output:

- o A point $Q_{id} = (x, y)$ of order q in $E(F_p)$

Method:

1. For a type-1 curve E , execute Algorithm 4.4.2 (`HashToPoint1`)

4.4.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation

HashToPoint1(p , q , id , $hashfcn$) takes an identity string id and the description of a subgroup of order q in $E(F_p)$, where $E: y^2 = x^3 + 1$ with p congruent to 11 modulo 12, and returns a point Q_{id} of order q in $E(F_p)$ that is calculated using the cryptographic hash function $hashfcn$. The parameters p , q and $hashfcn$ MUST be part of a valid set of public parameters as defined in Section 5.1.2 or Section 6.1.2.

Algorithm 4.4.2 (HashToPoint1): cryptographically hashes strings to points on type-1 curves.

Input:

- o A prime p
- o A prime q
- o A string id
- o A cryptographic hash function $hashfcn$

Output:

- o A point Q_{id} of order q in $E(F_p)$

Method:

1. Let $y = \text{HashToRange}(id, p, hashfcn)$, using Algorithm 4.1.1 (HashToRange), an element of F_p
2. Let $x = (y^2 - 1)^{((2 * p - 1) / 3)}$ modulo p , an element of F_p
3. Let $Q' = (x, y)$, a non-zero point in $E(F_p)$
4. Let $Q = [(p + 1) / q] Q'$, a point of order q in $E(F_p)$

4.5. Bilinear Mapping

4.5.1. Regular or Modified Tate Pairing

Pairing(E , p , q , A , B) takes two points A and B , both of order q , and, in the type-1 case, returns the modified pairing $e'(A, \phi(B))$ in F_p^2 where A and B are both in $E(F_p)$.

Algorithm 4.5.1 (Pairing): computes the regular or modified Tate pairing depending on the curve type.

Input:

- o A description of an elliptic curve E/F_p such that $E(F_p)$ and $E(F_{p^2})$ have a subgroup of order q
- o Two points A and B of order q in $E(F_p)$ or $E(F_{p^2})$

Output:

- o On supersingular curves, the value of $e'(A, B)$ in F_{p^2} where A and B are both in $E(F_p)$

Method:

1. If E is a type-1 curve, execute Algorithm 4.5.2 (Pairing1)

4.5.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation

Algorithm 4.5.2 (Pairing1): computes the modified Tate pairing on type-1 curves. The values of p and q MUST be part of a valid set of public parameters as defined in Section 5.1.2 or Section 6.1.2.

Input:

- o A curve E/F_p : $y^2 = x^3 + 1$ where p is congruent to 11 modulo 12 and $E(F_p)$ has a subgroup of order q
- o Two points A and B of order q in $E(F_p)$

Output:

- o The value of $e'(A, B) = e(A, \phi(B))$ in F_{p^2}

Method:

1. Compute $B' = \phi(B)$, as follows:
 - (a) Let (x, y) in $F_p \times F_p$ be the coordinates of B in $E(F_p)$
 - (b) Let $\text{zeta} = (a_{\text{zeta}}, b_{\text{zeta}})$, where $a_{\text{zeta}} = (p - 1) / 2$ and $b_{\text{zeta}} = 3^{((p + 1) / 4)} \pmod{p}$, an element of F_{p^2}
 - (c) Let $x' = x * \text{zeta}$ in F_{p^2}
 - (d) Let $B' = (x', y)$ in $F_{p^2} \times F_p$

2. Compute the Tate pairing $e(A, B') = e(A, \phi(B))$ in F_p^2 using the Miller method, as in Algorithm 3.5.1 (Tate) described in Section 3.5

4.6. Ratio of Bilinear Pairings

4.6.1. Ratio of Regular or Modified Tate Pairings

`PairingRatio(E, p, q, A, B, C, D)` takes four points as input and computes the ratio of the two bilinear pairings, $\text{Pairing}(E, p, q, A, B) / \text{Pairing}(E, p, q, C, D)$, or, equivalently, the product, $\text{Pairing}(E, p, q, A, B) * \text{Pairing}(E, p, q, C, -D)$.

On type-1 curves, all four points are of order q in $E(F_p)$, and the result is an element of order q in the extension field F_p^2 .

The motivation for this algorithm is that the ratio of two pairings can be calculated more efficiently than by computing each pairing separately and dividing one into the other, since certain calculations that would normally appear in each of the two pairings can be combined and carried out at once. Such calculations include the repeated doublings in steps 2(a)i, 2(a)ii, 3(a)i, and 3(a)ii of Algorithm 3.5.2 (TateMillerSolinas), as well as the final exponentiation in step 6(a) of Algorithm 3.5.2 (TateMillerSolinas).

Algorithm 4.6.1 (`PairingRatio`): computes the ratio of two regular or modified Tate pairings depending on the curve type.

Input:

- o A description of an elliptic curve E/F_p such that $E(F_p)$ and $E(F_p^2)$ have a subgroup of order q
- o Four points A, B, C , and D , of order q in $E(F_p)$ or $E(F_p^2)$

Output:

- o On supersingular curves, the value of $e'(A, B) / e'(C, D)$ in F_p^2 where A, B, C, D are all in $E(F_p)$

Method:

1. If E is a type-1 curve, execute Algorithm 4.6.2 (`PairingRatio1`)

4.6.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation

Algorithm 4.6.2 (PairingRatio1): computes the ratio of two modified Tate pairings on type-1 curves. The values of p and q MUST be part of a valid set of public parameters as defined in Section 5.1.2 or Section 6.1.2.

Input:

- o A curve E/F_p : $y^2 = x^3 + 1$, where p is congruent to 11 modulo 12 and $E(F_p)$ has a subgroup of order q
- o Four points A, B, C , and D of order q in $E(F_p)$

Output:

- o The value of $e'(A, B) / e'(C, D) = e(A, \phi(B)) / e(C, \phi(D)) = e(A, \phi(B)) * e(-C, \phi(D))$, in F_p^2

Method:

1. The step-by-step description of the optimized algorithm is omitted in this normative specification

The correct result can always be obtained, although more slowly, by computing the product of pairings $\text{Pairing1}(E, p, q, A, B) * \text{Pairing1}(E, p, q, -C, D)$ by using two invocations of Algorithm 4.5.2 (Pairing1).

5. The Boneh-Franklin BF Cryptosystem

This chapter describes the algorithms constituting the Boneh-Franklin identity-based cryptosystem as described in [BF].

5.1. Setup

5.1.1. Master Secret and Public Parameter Generation

Algorithm 5.1.1 (BFsetup): randomly selects a master secret and the associated public parameters.

Input:

- o An integer version number
- o A security parameter n (MUST take values either 1024, 2048, 3072, 7680, 15360)

Output:

- o A set of public parameters (version, E, p, q, P, P_{pub}, hashfcn)
- o A corresponding master secret s

Method:

1. Depending on the selected type t:
 - (a) If version = 2, then execute Algorithm 5.1.2 (BFsetup1)
2. The resulting master secret and public parameters are separately encoded as per the application protocol requirements

5.1.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation

BFsetup1 takes a security parameter n as input. For type-1 curves, the scale of n corresponds to the modulus bit-size believed [BF] of comparable security in the classical Diffie-Hellman or RSA public-key cryptosystems.

Algorithm 5.1.2 (BFsetup1): establishes a master secret and public parameters for type-1 curves.

Input:

- o A security parameter n, which MUST be either 1024, 2048, 3072, 7680 or 15360

Output:

- o A set of common public parameters (version, p, q, P, P_{pub}, hashfcn)
- o A corresponding master secret s

Method:

1. Set the version to version = 2.
2. Determine the subordinate security parameters n_p and n_q as follows:
 - (a) If n = 1024, then let n_p = 512, n_q = 160, hashfcn = 1.3.14.3.2.26 (SHA-1 [SHA])

- (b) If $n = 2048$, then let $n_p = 1024$, $n_q = 224$, hashfcn = 2.16.840.1.101.3.4.2.4 (SHA-224 [SHA])
 - (c) If $n = 3072$, then let $n_p = 1536$, $n_q = 256$, hashfcn = 2.16.840.1.101.3.4.2.1 (SHA-256 [SHA])
 - (d) If $n = 7680$, then let $n_p = 3840$, $n_q = 384$, hashfcn = 2.16.840.1.101.3.4.2.2 (SHA-384 [SHA])
 - (e) If $n = 15360$, then let $n_p = 7680$, $n_q = 512$, hashfcn = 2.16.840.1.101.3.4.2.3 (SHA-512 [SHA])
3. Construct the elliptic curve and its subgroup of interest, as follows:
- (a) Select an arbitrary n_q -bit Solinas prime q
 - (b) Select a random integer r such that $p = 12 * r * q - 1$ is an n_p -bit prime
4. Select a point P of order q in $E(F_p)$, as follows:
- (a) Select a random point P' of coordinates (x', y') on the curve E/F_p : $y^2 = x^3 + 1 \pmod{p}$
 - (b) Let $P = [12 * r]P'$
 - (c) If $P = 0$, then start over in step 3a
5. Determine the master secret and the public parameters as follows:
- (a) Select a random integer s in the range 2 to $q - 1$
 - (b) Let $P_{pub} = [s]P$
6. (version, E , p , q , P , P_{pub}) are the public parameters where E : $y^2 = x^3 + 1$ is represented by the OID 2.16.840.1.114334.1.1.1.1.
7. The integer s is the master secret

5.2. Public Key Derivation

5.2.1. Public Key Derivation from an Identity and Public Parameters

BFderivePubl takes an identity string id and a set of public parameters, and it returns a point Q_{id} . The public parameters used MUST be a valid set of public parameters as defined by Section 5.1.2.

Algorithm 5.2.1 (BFderivePubl): derives the public key corresponding to an identity string.

Input:

- o An identity string id
- o A set of public parameters (version, E , p , q , P , P_{pub} , hashfcn)

Output:

- o A point Q_{id} of order q in $E(F_p)$ or $E(F_{p^2})$

Method:

1. $Q_{id} = \text{HashToPoint}(E, p, q, id, \text{hashfcn})$, using Algorithm 4.4.1 (HashToPoint)

5.3. Private Key Extraction

5.3.1. Private Key Extraction from an Identity, a Set of Public Parameters and a Master Secret

BFextractPriv takes an identity string id , a set of public parameters, and corresponding master secret, and it returns a point S_{id} . The public parameters used MUST be a valid set of public parameters as defined by Section 5.1.2.

Algorithm 5.3.1 (BFextractPriv): extracts the private key corresponding to an identity string.

Input:

- o An identity string id
- o A set of public parameters (version, E , p , q , P , P_{pub} , hashfcn)

Output:

- o A point S_{id} of order q in $E(F_p)$

Method:

1. Let $Q_{id} = \text{HashToPoint}(E, p, q, id, \text{hashfcn})$ using Algorithm 4.4.1 (HashToPoint)
2. Let $S_{id} = [s]Q_{id}$

5.4. Encryption

5.4.1. Encrypt a Session Key Using an Identity and Public Parameters

BFencrypt takes three inputs: a public parameter block, an identity id, and a plaintext m. The plaintext MUST be a random symmetric session key. The public parameters used MUST be a valid set of public parameters as defined by Section 5.1.2.

Algorithm 5.4.1 (BFencrypt): encrypts a random session key for an identity string.

Input:

- o A plaintext string m of size |m| octets
- o A recipient identity string id
- o A set of public parameters (version, E, p, q, P, P_{pub}, hashfcn)

Output:

- o A ciphertext tuple (U, V, W) in $E(F_p) \times \{0, \dots, 255\}^{\text{hashlen}} \times \{0, \dots, 255\}^{|m|}$

Method:

1. Let hashlen be the length of the output of the cryptographic hash function hashfcn from the public parameters.
2. $Q_{id} = \text{HashToPoint}(E, p, q, id, \text{hashfcn})$, using Algorithm 4.4.1 (HashToPoint), which results in a point of order q in $E(F_p)$
3. Select a random hashlen-bit vector rho, represented as (hashlen / 8)-octet string in big-endian convention
4. Let t = hashfcn(m), a hashlen-octet string resulting from applying the hashfcn algorithm to the input m
5. Let l = HashToRange(rho || t, q, hashfcn), an integer in the range 0 to q - 1 resulting from applying Algorithm 4.1.1 (HashToRange) to the (2 * hashlen)-octet concatenation of rho and t
6. Let U = [l]P, which is a point of order q in $E(F_p)$
7. Let theta = Pairing(E, p, q, P_{pub}, Q_{id}), which is an element of the extension field F_p^2 obtained using the modified Tate pairing of Algorithm 4.5.1 (Pairing)

8. Let $\text{theta}' = \text{theta}^l$, which is theta raised to the power of l in \mathbb{F}_p^2
9. Let $z = \text{Canonical}(p, k, 0, \text{theta}')$, using Algorithm 4.3.1 (Canonical), the result of which is a canonical string representation of theta'
10. Let $w = \text{hashfcn}(z)$ using the hashfcn hashing algorithm, the result of which is a hashlen-octet string
11. Let $V = w \text{ XOR } \rho$, which is the hashlen-octet long bit-wise XOR of w and ρ
12. Let $W = \text{HashBytes}(|m|, \rho, \text{hashfcn}) \text{ XOR } m$, which is the bit-wise XOR of m with the first $|m|$ octets of the pseudo-random bytes produced by Algorithm 4.2.1 (HashBytes) with seed ρ
13. The ciphertext is the triple (U, V, W)

5.5. Decryption

5.5.1. Decrypt an Encrypted Session Key Using Public Parameters, a Private Key

BFdecrypt takes three inputs: a public parameter block, a private key block key, and a ciphertext parsed as (U', V', W') . The public parameters used MUST be a valid set of public parameters as defined by Section 5.1.2.

Algorithm 5.5.1 (BFdecrypt): decrypts an encrypted session key using a private key.

Input:

- o A private key point S_{id} of order q in $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$
- o A ciphertext triple (U, V, W) in $E(\mathbb{F}_p) \times \{0, \dots, 255\}^{\text{hashlen}} \times \{0, \dots, 255\}^*$
- o A set of public parameters (version, E , p , q , P , P_{pub} , hashfcn)

Output:

- o A decrypted plaintext m , or an invalid ciphertext flag

Method:

1. Let `hashlen` be the length of the output of the hash function `hashlen` measured in octets
2. Let `theta = Pairing(E, p, q, U, S_id)` by applying the modified Tate pairing of Algorithm 4.5.1 (Pairing)
3. Let `z = Canonical(p, k, 0, theta)` using Algorithm 4.3.1 (Canonical), the result of which is a canonical string representation of `theta`
4. Let `w = hashfcn(z)` using the `hashfcn` hashing algorithm, the result of which is a `hashlen`-octet string
5. Let `rho = w XOR V`, the bit-wise XOR of `w` and `V`
6. Let `m = HashBytes(|W|, rho, hashfcn) XOR W`, which is the bit-wise XOR of `m` with the first `|W|` octets of the pseudo-random bytes produced by Algorithm 4.2.1 (HashBytes) with seed `rho`
7. Let `t = hashfcn(m)` using the `hashfcn` algorithm
8. Let `l = HashToRange(rho || t, q, hashfcn)` using Algorithm 4.1.1 (HashToRange) on the $(2 * \text{hashlen})$ -octet concatenation of `rho` and `t`
9. Verify that `U = [l]P`:
 - (a) If this is the case, then the decrypted plaintext `m` is returned
 - (b) Otherwise, the ciphertext is rejected and no plaintext is returned

6. The Boneh-Boyen BB1 Cryptosystem

This section describes the algorithms constituting the first of the two Boneh-Boyen identity-based cryptosystems proposed in [BB1]. The description follows the practical implementation given in [BB1].

6.1. Setup

6.1.1. Generate a Master Secret and Public Parameters

Algorithm 6.1.1 (BBsetup). Randomly selects a set of master secrets and the associated public parameters.

Input:

- o An integer version number
- o An integer security parameter n (MUST take values either 1024, 2048, 3072, 7680, or 15360)

Output:

- o A set of public parameters
- o A corresponding master secret

Method:

1. Depending on the version:

(a) If version = 2, then execute Algorithm 6.1.2 (BBsetup1)

6.1.2. Type-1 Curve Implementation

BBsetup1 takes a security parameter n as input. For type-1 curves, n corresponds to the modulus bit-size believed [BF] of comparable security in the classical Diffie-Hellman or RSA public-key cryptosystems. For this implementation, n MUST be one of 1024, 2048, 3072, 7680 or 15360, which correspond to the equivalent bit security levels of 80, 112, 128, 192 and 256 bits respectively.

Algorithm 6.1.2 (BBsetup1): randomly establishes a master secret and public parameters for type-1 curves.

Input:

- o A security parameter n , either 1024, 2048, 3072, 7680, or 15360

Output:

- o A set of public parameters (version, k , E , p , q , P , P_1 , P_2 , P_3 , v , hashfcn)
- o A corresponding triple of master secrets (α , β , γ)

Method:

1. Determine the subordinate security parameters n_p and n_q as follows:

- (a) If $n = 1024$, then let $n_p = 512$, $n_q = 160$, hashfcn = 1.3.14.3.2.26 (SHA-1 [SHA])
 - (b) If $n = 2048$, then let $n_p = 1024$, $n_q = 224$, hashfcn = 2.16.840.1.101.3.4.2.4 (SHA-224 [SHA])
 - (c) If $n = 3072$, then let $n_p = 1536$, $n_q = 256$, hashfcn = 2.16.840.1.101.3.4.2.1 (SHA-256 [SHA])
 - (d) If $n = 7680$, then let $n_p = 3840$, $n_q = 384$, hashfcn = 2.16.840.1.101.3.4.2.2 (SHA-384 [SHA])
 - (e) If $n = 15360$, then let $n_p = 7680$, $n_q = 512$, hashfcn = 2.16.840.1.101.3.4.2.3 (SHA-512 [SHA])
2. Construct the elliptic curve and its subgroup of interest as follows:
- (a) Select a random n_q -bit Solinas prime q
 - (b) Select a random integer r , such that $p = 12 * r * q - 1$ is an n_p -bit prime
3. Select a point P of order q in $E(F_p)$, as follows:
- (a) Select a random point P' of coordinates (x', y') on the curve E/F_p : $y^2 = x^3 + 1 \pmod{p}$
 - (b) Let $P = [12 * r]P'$
 - (c) If $P = 0$, then start over in step 3a
4. Determine the master secret and the public parameters as follows:
- (a) Select three random integers α , β , γ , each of them in the range 1 to $q - 1$
 - (b) Let $P_1 = [\alpha]P$
 - (c) Let $P_2 = [\beta]P$
 - (d) Let $P_3 = [\gamma]P$
 - (e) Let $v = \text{Pairing}(E, p, q, P_1, P_2)$, which is an element of the extension field F_{p^2} obtained using the modified Tate pairing of Algorithm 4.5.1 (Pairing)

5. (version, E, p, q, P, P₁, P₂, P₃, v, hashfcn) are the public parameters

6. (alpha, beta, gamma) constitute the master secret

6.2. Public Key Derivation

6.2.1. Derive a Public Key from an Identity and Public Parameters

Takes an identity string id and a set of public parameters and returns an integer h_{id}. The public parameters used MUST be a valid set of public parameters as defined by Section 6.1.2.

Algorithm 6.2.1 (BBderivePubl): derives the public key corresponding to an identity string. The public parameters used MUST be a valid set of public parameters as defined by Section 6.1.2.

Input:

- o An identity string id
- o A set of common public parameters (version, k, E, p, q, P, P₁, P₂, P₃, v, hashfcn)

Output:

- o An integer h_{id} modulo q

Method:

1. Let h_{id} = HashToRange(id, q, hashfcn), using Algorithm 4.1.1 (HashToRange)

6.3. Private Key Extraction

6.3.1. Extract a Private Key from an Identity, Public Parameters and a Master Secret

BBextractPriv takes an identity string id, a set of public parameters, and corresponding master secrets, and it returns a private key consisting of two points D₀ and D₁. The public parameters used MUST be a valid set of public parameters as defined by Section 6.1.2.

Algorithm 6.3.1 (BBextractPriv): extracts the private key corresponding to an identity string.

Input:

- o An identity string id
- o A set of public parameters ($version, k, E, p, q, P, P_1, P_2, P_3, v, hashfcn$)

Output:

- o A pair of points (D_0, D_1) , each of which has order q in $E(F_p)$

Method:

1. Select a random integer r in the range 1 to $q - 1$
2. Calculate the point D_0 as follows:
 - (a) Let $hid = HashToRange(id, q, hashfcn)$ using Algorithm 4.1.1 ($HashToRange$)
 - (b) Let $y = \alpha * \beta + r * (\alpha * h_{id} + \gamma)$ in F_q
 - (c) Let $D_0 = [y]P$
3. Calculate the point D_1 as follows:
 - (a) Let $D_1 = [r]P$
4. The pair of points (D_0, D_1) constitutes the private key for id

6.4. Encryption

6.4.1. Encrypt a Session Key Using an Identity and Public Parameters

BBencrypt takes three inputs: a set of public parameters, an identity id , and a plaintext m . The plaintext MUST be a random session key. The public parameters used MUST be a valid set of public parameters as defined by Section 6.1.2.

Algorithm 6.4.1 (BBencrypt): encrypts a session key for an identity string.

Input:

- o A plaintext string m of size $|m|$ octets
- o A recipient identity string id

- o A set of public parameters (version, k, E, p, q, P, P₁, P₂, P₃, v, hashfcn)

Output:

- o A ciphertext tuple (u, C₀, C₁, y) in $F_q \times E(F_p) \times E(F_p) \times \{0, \dots, 255\}^{|m|}$

Method:

1. Select a random integer s in the range 1 to q - 1
2. Let $w = v^s$, which is v raised to the power of s in F_p^2 , the result is an element of order q in F_p^2
3. Calculate the point C₀ as follows:
 - (a) Let $C_0 = [s]P$
4. Calculate the point C₁ as follows:
 - (a) Let $h_{id} = \text{HashToRange}(id, q, \text{hashfcn})$ using Algorithm 4.1.1 (HashToRange)
 - (b) Let $y = s * h_{id}$ in F_q
 - (c) Let $C_1 = [y]P_1 + [s]P_3$
5. Obtain canonical string representations of certain elements:
 - (a) Let $\psi = \text{Canonical}(p, k, 1, w)$ using Algorithm 4.3.1 (Canonical), the result of which is a canonical octet string representation of w
 - (b) Let $l = \text{Ceiling}(\lg(p) / 8)$, the number of octets needed to represent integers in F_p , and represent each of these F_p elements as a big-endian zero-padded octet string of fixed length l:
 - (x₀)_(256^l) to represent the x coordinate of C₀
 - (y₀)_(256^l) to represent the y coordinate of C₀
 - (x₁)_(256^l) to represent the x coordinate of C₁
 - (y₁)_(256^l) to represent the y coordinate of C₁

6. Encrypt the message m into the string y as follows:

- (a) Compute an encryption key h_0 as a two-pass hash of w via its representation ψ :
 - i. Let $\zeta = \text{hashfcn}(\psi)$ using the hashing algorithm hashfcn
 - ii. Let $\xi = \text{hashfcn}(\zeta \parallel \psi)$ using the hashing algorithm hashfcn
 - iii. Let $h' = \xi \parallel \zeta$, the concatenation of the previous two hashfcn outputs
- (b) Let $y = \text{HashBytes}(|m|, h', \text{hashfcn}) \text{ XOR } m$, which is the bit-wise XOR of m with the first $|m|$ octets of the pseudo-random bytes produced by Algorithm 4.2.1 (HashBytes) with seed h'

7. Create the integrity check tag u as follows:

- (a) Compute a one-time pad h'' as a dual-pass hash of the representation of (w, C_0, C_1, y) :
 - i. Let $\sigma = (y_1)_{(256^1)} \parallel (x_1)_{(256^1)} \parallel (y_0)_{(256^1)} \parallel (x_0)_{(256^1)} \parallel y \parallel \psi$ be the concatenation of y and the five indicated strings in the specified order
 - ii. Let $\eta = \text{hashfcn}(\sigma)$ using the hashing algorithm hashfcn
 - iii. Let $\mu = \text{hashfcn}(\eta \parallel \sigma)$ using the hashfcn hashing algorithm
 - iv. Let $h'' = \mu \parallel \eta$, the concatenation of the previous two outputs of hashfcn
- (b) Build the tag u as the encryption of the integer s with the one-time pad h'' :
 - i. Let $\rho = \text{HashToRange}(h'', q, \text{hashfcn})$ to get an integer in \mathbb{Z}_q
 - ii. Let $u = s + \rho \pmod{q}$

8. The complete ciphertext is given by the quadruple (u, C_0, C_1, y)

6.5. Decryption

6.5.1. Decrypt Using Public Parameters and Private Key

BBdecrypt takes three inputs: a set of public parameters (version, k, E, p, q, P, P_1, P_2, P_3, v, hashfcn), a private key (D_0, D_1), and a ciphertext (u, C_0, C_1, y). It outputs a message m, or signals an error if the ciphertext is invalid for the given key. The public parameters used MUST be a valid set of public parameters as defined by Section 6.1.2.

Algorithm 6.5.1 (BBdecrypt): decrypts a ciphertext using public parameters and a private key.

Input:

- o A private key given as a pair of points (D_0, D_1) of order q in $E(F_p)$
- o A ciphertext quadruple (u, C_0, C_1, y) in $Z_q \times E(F_p) \times E(F_p) \times \{0, \dots, 255\}^*$
- o A set of public parameters (version, k, E, p, q, P, P_1, P_2, P_3, v, hashfcn)

Output:

- o A decrypted plaintext m, or an invalid ciphertext flag

Method:

1. Let $w = \text{PairingRatio}(E, p, q, C_0, D_0, C_1, D_1)$, which computes the ratio of two Tate pairings (modified, for type-1 curves) as specified in Algorithm 4.6.1 (PairingRatio)
2. Obtain canonical string representations of certain elements:
 - (a) Let $\text{psi} = \text{Canonical}(p, k, 1, w)$ using Algorithm 4.3.1 (Canonical); the result is a canonical octet string representation of w
 - (b) Let $l = \text{Ceiling}(\lg(p) / 8)$, the number of octets needed to represent integers in F_p , and represent each of these F_p elements as a big-endian zero-padded octet string of fixed length l:

$(x_0)_{(256^l)}$ to represent the x coordinate of C_0

$(y_0)_{(256^1)}$ to represent the y coordinate of C_0

$(x_1)_{(256^1)}$ to represent the x coordinate of C_1

$(y_1)_{(256^1)}$ to represent the y coordinate of C_1

3. Decrypt the message m from the string y as follows:

(a) Compute the decryption key h' as a dual-pass hash of w via its representation ψ :

i. Let $\text{zeta} = \text{hashfcn}(\psi)$ using the hashing algorithm hashfcn

ii. Let $\text{xi} = \text{hashfcn}(\text{zeta} || \psi)$ using the hashing algorithm hashfcn

iii. Let $h' = \text{xi} || \text{zeta}$, the concatenation of the previous two hashfcn outputs

(b) Let $m = \text{HashBytes}(|y|, h', \text{hashfcn})_{\text{XOR}} y$, which is the bit-wise XOR of y with the first $|y|$ octets of the pseudo-random bytes produced by Algorithm 4.2.1 (HashBytes) with seed h'

4. Obtain the integrity check tag u as follows:

(a) Recover the one-time pad h'' as a dual-pass hash of the representation of (w, C_0, C_1, y) :

i. Let $\text{sigma} = (y_1)_{(256^1)} || (x_1)_{(256^1)} || (y_0)_{(256^1)} || (x_0)_{(256^1)} || y || \psi$ be the concatenation of y and the five indicated strings in the specified order

ii. Let $\text{eta} = \text{hashfcn}(\text{sigma})$ using the hashing algorithm hashfcn

iii. Let $\text{mu} = \text{hashfcn}(\text{eta} || \text{sigma})$ using the hashing algorithm hashfcn

iv. Let $h'' = \text{mu} || \text{eta}$, the concatenation of the previous two hashfcn outputs

(b) Unblind the encryption randomization integer s from the tag u using h'' :

i. Let $\text{rho} = \text{HashToRange}(h'', q, \text{hashfcn})$ to get an integer in \mathbb{Z}_q

ii. Let $s = u - \text{rho} \pmod{q}$

5. Verify the ciphertext consistency according to the decrypted values:

(a) Test whether the equality $w = v^s$ holds

(b) Test whether the equality $C_0 = [s]P$ holds

6. Adjudication and final output:

(a) If either of the tests performed in step 5 fails, the ciphertext is rejected, and no decryption is output

(b) Otherwise, i.e., when both tests performed in step 5 succeed, the decrypted message is the output

7. Test Data

The following data can be used to verify the correct operation of selected algorithms that are defined in this document.

7.1. Algorithm 3.2.2 (PointMultiply)

Input:

$q = 0xffffffffffffffffffffffffffffbffff$

$p = 0xbffffffffffffffffffffffffffffcffff3$

$E/F_p: y^2 = x^3 + 1$

$A = (0x489a03c58dcf7fcfc97e99ffef0bb4634,$
 $0x510c6972d795ec0c2b081b81de767f808)$

$l = 0xb8bbbc0089098f2769b32373ade8f0daf$

Output:

$[l]A = (0x073734b32a882cc97956b9f7e54a2d326,$
 $0x9c4b891aab199741a44a5b6b632b949f7)$

7.2. Algorithm 4.1.1 (HashToRange)

Input:

```
s =  
54:68:69:73:20:41:53:43:49:49:20:73:74:72:69:6e:67:20:77:69:74  
:68:6f:75:74:20:6e:75:6c:6c:2d:74:65:72:6d:69:6e:61:74:6f:72  
("This ASCII string without null-terminator")
```

```
n = 0xffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff
```

```
hashfcn = 1.3.14.3.2.16 (SHA-1)
```

Output:

```
v = 0x79317c1610c1fc018e9c53d89d59c108cd518608
```

7.3. Algorithm 4.5.1 (Pairing)

Input:

```
q = 0xffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffbffff
```

```
p = 0xbffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffcffff3
```

$$E/F_p: y^2 = x^3 + 1$$

```
A = (0x489a03c58dcf7fcfc97e99ffef0bb4634,  
0x510c6972d795ec0c2b081b81de767f808)
```

```
B = (0x40e98b9382e0b1fa6747dcb1655f54f75,  
0xb497a6a02e7611511d0db2ff133b32a3f)
```

Output:

```
e'(A, B) = (0x8b2cac13cbd422658f9e5757b85493818,  
0xbc6af59f54d0a5d83c8efd8f5214fad3c)
```


7.4. Algorithm 5.2.1 (BFderivePubl)

Input:

id = 6f:42:62 ("Bob")

version = 2

p = 0xa6a0ffd016103fffffffffff595f002fe9ef195f002fe9efb

q = 0xff

P = (0x6924c354256acf5a0ff7f61be4f0495b54540a5bf6395b3d,
0x024fd8e2eb7c09104bca116f41c035219955237c0eac19ab)

P_pub = (0xa68412ae960d1392701066664d20b2f4a76d6ee715621108,
0x9e7644e75c9a131d075752e143e3f0435ff231b6745a486f)

Output:

Q_id = (0x22fa1207e0d19e1a4825009e0e88e35eb57ba79391498f59,
0x982d29acf942127e0f01c881b5ec1b5fe23d05269f538836)

7.5. Algorithm 5.3.1 (BFextractPriv)

Input:

s = 0x749e52ddb807e0220054417e514742b05a0

version = 2

p = 0xa6a0ffd016103fffffffffff595f002fe9ef195f002fe9efb

q = 0xff

P = (0x6924c354256acf5a0ff7f61be4f0495b54540a5bf6395b3d,
0x024fd8e2eb7c09104bca116f41c035219955237c0eac19ab)

P_pub = (0xa68412ae960d1392701066664d20b2f4a76d6ee715621108,
0x9e7644e75c9a131d075752e143e3f0435ff231b6745a486f)

Output:

Q_id = (0x8212b74ea75c841a9d1accc914ca140f4032d191b5ce5501,
0x950643d940aba68099bdc40082532b6130c88d317958657)

7.6. Algorithm 5.4.1 (BFencrypt)

Note: the following values can also be used to test Algorithm 5.5.1 (BFdecrypt).

Input:

m = 48:69:20:74:68:65:72:65:21 ("Hi there!")

id = 6f:42:62 ("Bob")

version = 2

p = 0xa6a0ffd016103fffffffffff595f002fe9ef195f002fe9efb

q = 0xff

P = (0x6924c354256acf5a0ff7f61be4f0495b54540a5bf6395b3d,
0x024fd8e2eb7c09104bca116f41c035219955237c0eac19ab)

P_pub = (0xa68412ae960d1392701066664d20b2f4a76d6ee715621108,
0x9e7644e75c9a131d075752e143e3f0435ff231b6745a486f)

Output:

Using the random value rho =
0xed5397ff77b567ba5ecb644d7671d6b6f2082968, we get the
following output:

U =
(0x1b5f6c461497acdfcbb6d6613ad515430c8b3fa23b61c585e9a541b199e
2a6cb,
0x9bdfbed1ae664e51e3d4533359d733ac9a600b61048a7d899104e826a0ec
4fa4)

V =
e0:1d:ad:81:32:6c:b1:73:af:c2:8d:72:2e:7a:32:1a:7b:29:8a:aa

W = f9:04:ba:40:30:e9:ce:6e:ff

7.7. Algorithm 6.3.1 (BBextractPriv)

Input:

alpha = 0xa60c395285ded4d70202c8283d894bad4f0

beta = 0x48bf012da19f170b13124e5301561f45053

gamma = 0x226fba82bc38e2ce4e28e56472ccf94a499

version = 2

p = 0x91bbe2belc8950750784beffffffffffffffff6e441d41e12fb

q = 0xffffffffbfffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

P = (0x13cc538fe950411218d7f5c17ae58a15e58f0877b29f2fe1,
0x8cf7bab1a748d323cc601fabd8b479f54a60belle28e18cf)

P_1 = (0x0f809a992ed2467a138d72bc1d8931c6ccdd781bedc74627,
0x11c933027beaaf73aa9022db366374b1c68d6bf7d7a888c2)

P_2 = (0x0f8ac99a55e575bf595308cfea13edb8ec673983919121b0,
0x3febb7c6369f5d5f18ee3ea6ca0181448a4f3c4f3385019c)

P_3 = (0x2c10b43991052e78fac44fdce639c45824f5a3a2550b2a45,
0x6d7c12d8a0681426a5bbc369c9ef54624356e2f6036a064f)

v = (0x38f91032de6847a89fc3c83e663ed0c21c8f30ce65c0d7d3,
0x44b9aa10849cc8d8987ef2421770a340056745da8b99fba2)

id = 6f:42:62 ("Bob")

Output:

Using the random value r =
0x695024c25812112187162c08aa5f65c7a2c, we get the following
output:

D_0 = (0x3264e13feeb7c506493888132964e79ad657a952334b9e53,
0x3eeaeafc14ba1277a1cd6fdea83c7c882fe6d85d957055c7b)

D_1 = (0x8d7a72ad06909bb3bb29b67676d935018183a905e7e8cb18,
0x2b346c6801c1db638f270af915a21054f16044ab67f6c40e)

7.8. Algorithm 6.4.1 (BBencrypt)

Note: the following values can also be used to test Algorithm 5.5.1 (BFdecrypt).

Input:

m = 48:69:20:74:68:65:72:65:21 ("Hi there!")

id = 6f:42:62 ("Bob")

version = 2

E: $y^2 = x^3 + 1$

p = 0x91bbe2belc8950750784beffffffffffffffff6e441d41e12fb

q = 0xffffffffbfffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

P = (0x13cc538fe950411218d7f5c17ae58a15e58f0877b29f2fe1,
0x8cf7bab1a748d323cc601fabd8b479f54a60belle28e18cf)

P_1 = (0x0f809a992ed2467a138d72bc1d8931c6ccdd781bedc74627,
0x11c933027beaaf73aa9022db366374b1c68d6bf7d7a888c2)

P_2 = (0x0f8ac99a55e575bf595308cfea13edb8ec673983919121b0,
0x3febb7c6369f5d5f18ee3ea6ca0181448a4f3c4f3385019c)

P_3 = (0x2c10b43991052e78fac44fdce639c45824f5a3a2550b2a45,
0x6d7c12d8a0681426a5bbc369c9ef54624356e2f6036a064f)

v = (0x38f91032de6847a89fc3c83e663ed0c21c8f30ce65c0d7d3,
0x44b9aa10849cc8d8987ef2421770a340056745da8b99fba2)

hashfcn = 1.3.14.3.2.26 (SHA-1)

Output:

Using the random value s =
0x62759e95ce1af248040e220263fb41b965e, we get the following
output:

u = 0xad1ebfa82edf0bcb5111e9dc08ff0737c68

C_0 = (0x79f8f35904579f1aaf51897b1e8f1d84e1c927b8994e81f9,
0x1cf77bb2516606681aba2e2dc14764aa1b55a45836014c62)

```
C_1 = (0x410cfef0bccf1fa4afc607316c8b12fe464097b20250d684,
0x8bb76e7195a7b1980531b0a5852ce710cab5d288b2404e90)
```

```
y = 82:a6:42:b9:bb:e9:82:c4:57
```

8. ASN.1 Module

This section defines the ASN.1 module for the encodings discussed in this document.

```
IBCS { joint-iso-itu-t(2) country(16) us(840) organization(1)
    identicrypt(114334) ibcs(1) module(5) version(1) }
```

```
DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::= BEGIN
```

```
--
-- Identity-based cryptography standards (IBCS):
-- supersingular curve implementations of
-- the BF and BB1 cryptosystems
--
-- This version only supports IBE using
-- type-1 curves, i.e., the curve  $y^2 = x^3 + 1$ .
--
```

```
ibcs OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
    joint-iso-itu-t(2) country(16) us(840) organization(1)
    identicrypt(114334) ibcs(1)
}
```

```
--
-- IBCS1
--
-- IBCS1 defines the algorithms used to implement IBE
--
```

```
ibcs1 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
    ibcs ibcs1(1)
}
```

```
--
-- An elliptic curve is specified by an OID.
-- A type1curve is defined by the equation  $y^2 = x^3 + 1$ .
--
```

```
type1curve OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
    ibcs1 curve-types(1) type1-curve(1)
}
```

```
--
-- Supporting types
--

--
-- Encoding of a point on an elliptic curve E/F_p
-- An FpPoint can either represent an element of
-- F_p^2 or an element of (F_p)^2.

FpPoint ::= SEQUENCE {
    x  INTEGER,
    y  INTEGER
}

--
-- The following hash functions are supported:
--
-- SHA-1
--
-- id-sha1 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
--     iso(1) identified-organization(3) oiw(14)
--     secsig(3) algorithms(2) hashAlgorithmIdentifier(26)
-- }
--
-- SHA-224
--
-- id-sha224 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
--     joint-iso-itu-t(2)country(16) us(840)
--     organization(1) gov(101)
--     csor(3) nistAlgorithm(4) hashAlgs(2) sha224(4)
-- }
--
-- SHA-256
--
-- id-sha256 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
--     joint-iso-itu-t(2)country(16) us(840)
--     organization(1) gov(101)
--     csor(3) nistAlgorithm(4) hashAlgs(2) sha256(1)
-- }
--
-- SHA-384
--
-- id-sha384 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
--     joint-iso-itu-t(2)country(16) us(840)
--     organization(1) gov(101)
--     csor(3) nistAlgorithm(4) hashAlgs(2) sha384(2)
-- }
--
```

```

-- SHA-512
--
-- id-sha512 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
--   joint-iso-itu-t(2) country(16) us(840)
--   organization(1) gov(101)
--   csor(3) nistAlgorithm(4) hashAlgs(2) sha512(3)
-- }
--
-- Algorithms
--
ibe-algorithms OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {
  ibcs1 ibe-algorithms(2)
}

---
--- Boneh-Franklin IBE
---

bf OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { ibe-algorithms bf(1) }

--
-- Encoding of a BF public parameters block.
-- The only version currently supported is version 2.
-- The values p and q define a subgroup of  $E(F_p)$  of order q.
--

BFPublicParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
  version      INTEGER { v2(2) },
  curve        OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
  p            INTEGER,
  q            INTEGER,
  pointP       FpPoint,
  pointPpub    FpPoint,
  hashfcn      OBJECT IDENTIFIER
}

--
-- A BF private key is a point on an elliptic curve,
-- which is an FpPoint.
-- The only version supported is version 2.
--

BFPrivateKeyBlock ::= SEQUENCE {
  version      INTEGER { v2(2) },
  privateKey   FpPoint
}

```

```
--
-- A BF master secret is an integer.
-- The only version supported is version 2.
--

BFMasterSecret ::= SEQUENCE {
    version      INTEGER {v2(2) },
    masterSecret  INTEGER
}

--
-- BF ciphertext block
-- The only version supported is version 2.
--

BFCiphertextBlock ::= SEQUENCE {
    version  INTEGER { v2(2) },
    u        FpPoint,
    v        OCTET STRING,
    w        OCTET STRING
}

--
-- Boneh-Boyen (BB1) IBE
--

bb1 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { ibe-algorithms bb1(2) }

--
-- Encoding of a BB1 public parameters block.
-- The version is currently fixed to 2.
--

BB1PublicParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
    version      INTEGER { v2(2) },
    curve        OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
    p            INTEGER,
    q            INTEGER,
    pointP       FpPoint,
    pointP1      FpPoint,
    pointP2      FpPoint,
    pointP3      FpPoint,
    v            FpPoint,
    hashfcn      OBJECT IDENTIFIER
}
```



```
--
-- BBl master secret block
-- The only version supported is version 2.
--

BB1MasterSecret ::= SEQUENCE {
    version  INTEGER { v2(2) },
    alpha    INTEGER,
    beta     INTEGER,
    gamma    INTEGER
}

--
-- BBl private Key block
-- The only version supported is version 2.
--

BB1PrivateKeyBlock ::= SEQUENCE {
    version  INTEGER { v2(2) },
    pointD0  FpPoint,
    pointD1  FpPoint
}

--
-- BBl ciphertext block
-- The only version supported is version 2.
--

BB1CiphertextBlock ::= SEQUENCE {
    version      INTEGER {v2(2) },
    pointChi0    FpPoint,
    pointChi1    FpPoint,
    nu           INTEGER,
    y            OCTET STRING
}

END
```

9. Security Considerations

This document describes cryptographic algorithms. We assume that the security provided by such algorithms depends entirely on the secrecy of the relevant private key, and for an adversary to defeat the security provided by the algorithms, he will need to perform computationally-intensive cryptanalytic attacks to recover the private key.

We assume that users of the algorithms described in this document will require one of five levels of cryptographic strength: the equivalent of 80 bits, 112 bits, 128 bits, 192 bits or, 256 bits. The 80-bit level is suitable for legacy applications and SHOULD NOT be used to protect information whose useful life extends past the year 2010. The 112-bit level is suitable for use in key transport of Triple-DES keys and should be adequate to protect information whose useful life extends up to the year 2030. The 128-bit levels and higher are suitable for use in the transport of Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) keys of the corresponding length or less and are adequate to protect information whose useful life extends past the year 2030.

Table 1 summarizes the security parameters for the BF and BBl algorithms that will attain these levels of security. In this table, $|p|$ represents the number of bits in a prime number p , and $|q|$ represents the number of bits in a subprime q . This table assumes that a Type-1 supersingular curve is used.

| Bits of Security | $ p $ | $ q $ |
|------------------|-------|-------|
| 80 | 512 | 160 |
| 112 | 1024 | 224 |
| 128 | 1536 | 256 |
| 192 | 3840 | 384 |
| 256 | 7680 | 512 |

Table 1: Sizes of BF and BBl Parameters Required to Attain Standard Levels of Bit Security [SP800-57].

If an IBE key is used to transport a symmetric key that provides more bits of security than the bit strength of the IBE key, users should understand that the security of the system is then limited by the strength of the weaker IBE key. So if an IBE key that provides 112 bits of security is used to transport a 128-bit AES key, then the security provided is limited by the 112 bits of security of the IBE key.

Note that this document specifies the use of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) hashing algorithms [SHA] to hash identities to either a point on an elliptic curve or an integer. Recent attacks on SHA-1 [SHA] have discovered ways to find collisions with less work than the expected 2^{80} hashes required based on the size of the output of the hash function alone. If an attacker can find a collision, then they could use the colliding preimages to create two identities that have the same IBE private key. The practical use of such a SHA-1 [SHA] collision is extremely unlikely, however.

Identities are typically not random strings like the preimages of a hash collision would be. In particular, this is true if IBE is used as described in [IBECMS], in which components of an identity are defined to be an e-mail address, a validity period, and a URI. In this case, the unpredictable results of a collision are extremely unlikely to fit the format of a valid identity, and thus, are of no use to an attacker. Any protocol using IBE MUST define an identity in a way that makes collisions in a hash function essentially useless to an attacker. Because random strings are rarely used as identities, this requirement should not be unduly difficult to fulfill.

The randomness of the random values that are required by the cryptographic algorithms is vital to the security provided by the algorithms. Any implementation of these algorithms MUST use a source of random values that provides an adequate level of security. Appropriate algorithms to generate such values include [FIPS186-2] and [X9.62]. This will ensure that the random values used to mask plaintext messages in Sections 5.4 and 6.4 are not reused with a significant probability.

The strength of a system using the algorithms described in this document relies on the strength of the mechanism used to authenticate a user requesting a private key from a PKG, as described in step 2 of Section 1.2 of this document. This is analogous to the way in which the strength of a system using digital certificates [X.509] is limited by the strength of the authentication required of users before certificates are granted to them. In either case, a weak mechanism for authenticating users will result in a weak system that relies on the technology. A system that uses the algorithms described in this document MUST require users to authenticate in a way that is suitably strong, particularly if IBE private keys will be used for authentication.

Note that IBE systems have different properties than other asymmetric cryptographic schemes when it comes to key recovery. If a master secret is maintained on a secure PKG, then the PKG and any

administrator with the appropriate level of access will be able to create arbitrary private keys, so that controls around such administrators and logging of all actions performed by such administrators SHOULD be part of a functioning IBE system.

On the other hand, it is also possible to create IBE private keys using a master secret and to then destroy the master secret, making any key recovery impossible. If this property is not desired, an administrator of an IBE system SHOULD require that the format of the identity used by the system contain a component that is short-lived. The format of identity that is defined in [IBECMS], for example, contains information about the time period of validity of the key that will be calculated from the identity. Such an identity can easily be changed to allow the rekeying of users if their IBE private key is somehow compromised.

10. Acknowledgments

This document is based on the IBCS #1 v2 document of Voltage Security, Inc. Any substantial use of material from this document should acknowledge Voltage Security, Inc. as the source of the information.

11. References

11.1. Normative References

- [KEYWORDS] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [TLS] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.1", RFC 4346, April 2006.

11.2. Informative References

- [BB1] D. Boneh and X. Boyen, "Efficient selective-ID secure identity based encryption without random oracles," In Proc. of EUROCRYPT 04, LNCS 3027, pp. 223-238, 2004.
- [BF] D. Boneh and M. Franklin, "Identity-based encryption from the Weil pairing," in Proc. of CRYPTO 01, LNCS 2139, pp. 213-229, 2001.
- [CMS] Housley, R., "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", RFC 3852, July 2004.

- [ECC] I. Blake, G. Seroussi, and N. Smart, "Elliptic Curves in Cryptography", Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [FIPS186-2] National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Digital Signature Standard," Federal Information Processing Standard 186-2, August 2002.
- [IBEARCH] G. Appenzeller, L. Martin, and M. Schertler, "Identity-based Encryption Architecture", Work in Progress.
- [IBECMS] L. Martin and M. Schertler, "Using the Boneh-Franklin and Boneh-Boyen identity-based encryption algorithms with the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", Work in Progress.
- [MERKLE] R. Merkle, "A fast software one-way hash function," Journal of Cryptology, Vol. 3 (1990), pp. 43-58.
- [P1363] IEEE P1363-2000, "Standard Specifications for Public Key Cryptography," 2001.
- [SP800-57] E. Barker, W. Barker, W. Burr, W. Polk and M. Smid, "Recommendation for Key Management - Part 1: General (Revised)," NIST Special Publication 800-57, March 2007.
- [SHA] National Institute for Standards and Technology, "Secure Hash Standard," Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 180-2, August 2002, with Change Notice 1, February 2004.
- [X9.62] American National Standards Institute, "Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry: The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)," American National Standard for Financial Services X9.62-2005, November 2005.
- [X.509] ITU-T Recommendation X.509 (2000) | ISO/IEC 9594-8:2001, Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory: Public-key and Attribute Certificate Frameworks.

Authors' Addresses

Xavier Boyen
Voltage Security
1070 Arastradero Rd Suite 100
Palo Alto, CA 94304

EMail: xavier@voltage.com

Luther Martin
Voltage Security
1070 Arastradero Rd Suite 100
Palo Alto, CA 94304

EMail: martin@voltage.com

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78 and at www.rfc-editor.org/copyright.html, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

